In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

CWP No. 2959 of 2007

Date of Decision: 19.03.2007

Surinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.

....Respondents.

Coram:- Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S. Khehar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.D. Anand.

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral).

In the instant writ petition, the prayer made by the petitioner is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.1 to 5 to take a decision with regard to the departmental enquiry contemplated against respondent No.6.

A perusal of Annexure P-9, placed on the record of this case through C.M. No.4530-31 of 2007 reveals, that a confidential enquiry report, on the basis of the complaint made by the petitioner, was sent by the Executive Engineer, Construction Division No.1, Kapurthala, to the Superintending Engineer, Construction Division No.1, Jalandhar Cantt., which was then forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, B&R Branch, Patiala, who in turn, ordered the issuance of a charge-sheet to

respondent No.6. A perusal of Annexure P-9 further reveals, that a charge-sheet under Rule 8 of the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1970, has already been drawn against respondent No.6.

In view of the above, it is apparent, that not only the complaint made by the petitioner has been taken into consideration, but a confidential enquiry has also been conducted in respect thereof, and further, a charge-sheet has been drawn against respondent No.6.. This, in our view, takes care of the prayer made by the petitioner in the instant writ petition, as has been noticed in the opening paragraph of this order.

Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge.

(S.D. Anand)
Judge.

19.03.2007
sk.